

Directional Regularity: Achieving faster rates of convergence in multivariate functional data

Omar Kassi CREST-ENSAI

> JDRS Rennes

18th March, 2024

~			
- 11	mar	Kac	
0	illai -	n a a	-

Directional Regularity

18th March, 2024

→

Image: A math a math

Based on joint work



Sunny Wang (CREST Ensai)

<u> </u>			
/ \r	201	- 12 -	0001
νл	пa		4551

Directional Regularity

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう

æ

Outline

Introduction

- Univariate case
- Motivation
- Setup
- Methodology
 Estimator
- 3 Theoretical Guarantees

Numerical Properties

< 3 >

E

First steps: univariate case (1/2)

• For B^H a fBm with Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{B^{H}(t) - B^{H}(s)\right\}^{2}\right] = |t - s|^{2H}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

E

First steps: univariate case (1/2)

• For B^H a fBm with Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{B^{H}(t) - B^{H}(s)\right\}^{2}\right] = |t - s|^{2H}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$$

• Estimating equation for the Hurst parameter :

$$H = \frac{\log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{B^{H}(t) - B^{H}(s)\right\}^{2}\right]\right)}{2\log|t - s|}$$

First steps: univariate case (2/2)

• Let X be a process defined on a subset of \mathbb{R} , with non-differentiable sample paths

-							
		۳.	к	-			
					-	-	

Image: Second second

Image: A matched black

First steps: univariate case (2/2)

• Let X be a process defined on a subset of \mathbb{R} , with non-differentiable sample paths

• GKP (2022) : $H(t_0) \in (0, 1)$ and $L(t_0) > 0$ exist such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{X(t) - X(s)\right\}^{2}\right] \approx L(t_{0})^{2} |t - s|^{2H(t_{0})}, \quad \forall s \le t_{0} \le t$$

for t and s close to t_0

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

First steps: univariate case (2/2)

• Let X be a process defined on a subset of \mathbb{R} , with non-differentiable sample paths

• GKP (2022) : $H(t_0) \in (0, 1)$ and $L(t_0) > 0$ exist such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{X(t) - X(s)\right\}^{2}\right] \approx L(t_{0})^{2} |t - s|^{2H(t_{0})}, \quad \forall s \le t_{0} \le t$$

for t and s close to t_0

• Estimating equation :

$$H(t_0) \approx \frac{\log(\theta(t_1, t_2)) - \log(\theta(t_1, t_3))}{2\log(2)}, \qquad t_0 \in [t_1, t_2] \subset [t_1, t_3]$$

where

$$\theta(t,s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\{X(t) - X(s)\}^2\right]$$
 and $|t_1 - t_2| = 2|t_1 - t_3|.$

Detour to non-parametric regression

• Let $(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, ..., n$ be data pairs observed under the model

$$Y_i = f(X_i) + \varepsilon_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $f:[0,1]^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and the X_i 's are i.i.d uniformly distributed on the hypercube

• If *f* belongs to the anisotropic Hölder class, then under suitable assumptions, the minimax rate of estimation is $n^{-\beta/(2\beta+1)}$, where β is the effective smoothness:

$$\beta^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i^{-1},$$

where β_i is the regularity along dimension \mathbf{e}_i

In the isotropic case, the effective smoothness is given by

$$\beta^{-1} = d \min_{i=1,\dots,d} \beta_i^{-1}$$

Are you really isotropic?

- Anisotropy / isotropy is often determined within the confines of the canonical basis as it is a notion of smoothness *along a dimension*
- But this is not the full story!
- Let \mathcal{T} be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , and $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, and $\{\mathbf{u_1}, \mathbf{u_2}\}$ be an orthonormal basis, where the function f is β_i -Hölder continuous along $\mathbf{u_i}$, for i = 1, 2
- Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{u_1} + \alpha_2 \mathbf{u_2}$. Then we have

$$|f(\mathbf{t}) - f(\mathbf{t} - \Delta \mathbf{v})| \le L_1 |\alpha_1 \Delta|^{\beta_1} + L_2 |\alpha_2 \Delta|^{\beta_2}$$

Let's define things

Directional Regularity

Let *X* a continuous and non-differentiable stochastic process, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{S}$ a unit vector and $H_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathcal{T} \to (0, 1)$. We say that the process *X* has a local regularity $H_{\mathbf{u}}$ in a point $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}$ along the direction \mathbf{u} if a bounded function $L_u : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ exist such that :

$$\theta_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t},\Delta) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{X\left(\mathbf{t}-\frac{\Delta}{2}\mathbf{u}\right) - X\left(\mathbf{t}-\frac{\Delta}{2}\mathbf{u}\right)\right\}^{2}\right] = L_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t})\Delta^{2H_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t})} + G(\mathbf{t},\Delta),$$

where $G(\mathbf{t}, \Delta) \underset{\Delta \to 0}{=} o\left(\Delta^{2H_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{t})}\right)$. We call the map $\mathbf{u} \mapsto H_{\mathbf{u}}$ directional regularity.

- If $H_{\mathbf{u}}$ does not depend on the direction \mathbf{u} , we say X is isotropic
- Otherwise, we call X anisotropic
- Anisotropy is not just a notion of smoothness along a dimension, but also along a direction

~			
റ	mar	Ka	201
0	mai	1.00	501

Let's go to processes

- Let u₁, u₂ be two unit vectors that spans ℝ², and H₁ < H₂ be two continuously differentiable functions along u₁, u₂ respectively
- Define the sum of two independent fractional brownian motions (fBms):

$$X(\mathbf{t}) = B_1(t_1) + B_2(t_2), \qquad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T},$$

where (t_1, t_2) are the coordinates of t in the u_1, u_2 basis

• For a small variation Δ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{B_i(\mathbf{t}-\Delta/2)-B_i(\mathbf{t}+\Delta/2)\right\}^2\right]=\Delta^{2H_i},\qquad\forall t\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

• Independence of B_1 and B_2 implies:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\{X(\mathbf{t}-\Delta/2\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}})-X(\mathbf{t}+\Delta/2\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}})\right\}^{2}\right]=\Delta^{2H_{i}}$$

• Using a lemma introduced soon, the sum of regularities when working in the u_1, u_2 basis is $H_1 + H_2 > 2H_1$, where the latter corresponds to the isotropic case!

Back to Multivariate FDA

- Observe an independent sample of random functions $X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(N)}$ defined on \mathcal{T} , where \mathcal{T} is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d_+ . Focus on d=2 throughout this talk.
- Realisations of a stochastic process $X : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{E} ||X||_2^2 < \infty$ such that $\langle f,g\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{t}\in\mathcal{T}} f(\mathbf{t})g(\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{t}$
- Suppose that observations come in the form of $(Y^{(j)}(\mathbf{t}_m), \mathbf{t}_m)$, generated from

 $Y^{(j)}(\mathbf{t}_m) = X^{(j)}(\mathbf{t}_m) + \varepsilon^{(j)}(\mathbf{t}_m), \qquad 1 \le j \le N, 1 \le m \le M_0, \mathbf{t}_m \in \mathcal{T},$

where the errors are independent, centered random variables.

 Goal: Formally introduce the notion of *directional regularity* in FDA, its estimation, identification, and consequences

വ	mar	ка	cei
0	na	1.0	221

The key lemma

Lemma

Assume that there exists basis vectors $(\mathbf{u_1}, \mathbf{u_2}) \in \mathbb{S}$ that spans \mathbb{R}^2 such that $H_{\mathbf{u_1}} < H_{\mathbf{u_2}}$. Moreover, suppose that the functions $L_{\mathbf{u_1}}$ and $L_{\mathbf{u_2}}$ are continuously differentiable. For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{S}$, we have the following dichotomy:

- If $v \neq \pm u_2$, then the regularity along v is H_{u_1} .
- Otherwise, the local regularity along v is H_{u_2} .
- Map $\mathbf{v} \mapsto H_{\mathbf{v}}$ can only take at most two possible values
- $\bullet~$ Maximisation problem $\arg\max_{v\in\mathbb{S}}H_{\mathbf{v}}$ admits two solutions $\mathbf{u_2}$ and $-\mathbf{u_2}$
- Finding the maximising direction $\mathbf{u_2}$ is equivalent to finding the angle $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$ between the two basis vectors $\mathbf{e_1}$ and $\mathbf{u_1}$:

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathbb{S}}H_{\mathbf{v}} = \arg\max_{\alpha\in[0,\pi)}H_{\mathbf{u}(\alpha)},$$

where $\mathbf{u}(\alpha) = \cos(\alpha)\mathbf{e_1} + \sin(\alpha)\mathbf{e_2}$.

The picture that says it all

Illustration of directional regularity





Directional Regularity

E

How to estimate α ?

• Let H_1, H_2 denote the regularity of X along $\mathbf{u_1}$ and $\mathbf{u_2}$ respectively, where $H_1 < H_2$, and $\langle \mathbf{e_1}, \mathbf{u_1} \rangle = \cos(\alpha)$

Proposition

Suppose that $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \pm \mathbf{e}_i$, for i = 1, 2. Then for a process X satisfying (7) and any fixed point $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$|g(\alpha)| = \left(\frac{\theta_{\mathbf{e_2}}(\mathbf{t},\Delta)}{\theta_{\mathbf{e_1}}(\mathbf{t},\Delta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\underline{H}}} + O\left(\Delta^{\beta \wedge |H_1 - H_2|}\right),$$

where $g = \tan \mathbf{1}\{H_1 < H_2\} + \cot \mathbf{1}\{H_1 > H_2\}$, and $\underline{H} = \min\{H_1, H_2\}$.

• Angles can be computed, up to a reflection, by taking the ratios of mean-squared variations along the canonical basis

Plug-in estimators

• Natural plug-in estimator for the mean-squared variations is then given by

$$\widehat{\theta}_{e_i}(\mathbf{t}, \Delta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ \widetilde{X}^{(j)} \left(\mathbf{t} - (\Delta/2) \mathbf{e_i} \right) - \widetilde{X}^{(j)} \left(\mathbf{t} + (\Delta/2) \mathbf{e_i} \right) \right\}^2, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$
(1)

where $\widetilde{X}^{(j)}$ denotes some observable approximation of $X^{(j)}.$

• Regularity $H_{\mathbf{v}}$ can be estimated with

$$\widehat{\underline{H}} = \begin{cases} \min_{i=1,2} \frac{\log(\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{i}}(\mathbf{t}, 2\Delta)) - \log(\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{i}}(\mathbf{t}, \Delta))}{2\log(2)} & \text{ if } & \widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{i}}(\mathbf{t}, 2\Delta), \widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{i}}(\mathbf{t}, \Delta) > 0, \\ 1 & \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• Putting (1) and (2) together, we thus have

$$g^{-1}\left|\widehat{g(\alpha)}\right| = g^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{2}}(\mathbf{t},\Delta)}{\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{1}}(\mathbf{t},\Delta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\widehat{H}}},\tag{3}$$

where $g^{-1} = \arctan \operatorname{or} g^{-1} = \operatorname{arccot}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□

(2)

Identification issues

- Two identification issues are present in (3)
- First is associated to g: we only know either g = tan or g = cot, which depends on whether $H_1 < H_2$ or vice-versa
- Second arises from the absolute value: we either have $tan(\alpha)$ or $tan(\pi \alpha)$ if g = tan, and similarly for the cot case
- Basically we need to identify a unique angle amongst the four possible options

Resolving the identification problem (1)

- Let's not forget what the angle gives us: the direction of the maximising regularity!
- $\bullet\,$ Any unit vector $\mathbf{u}\in\mathbb{S}$ can be represented in the canonical basis:

 $\mathbf{u}(\beta) = \cos(\beta)\mathbf{e_1} + \sin(\beta)\mathbf{e_2}.$

• Correct α between $\mathbf{u_1}$ and $\mathbf{e_1}$ is thus given by

$$\label{eq:argmax} \begin{split} \alpha &= \arg\max_{\beta \in \{\gamma, \pi - \gamma, \pi/2 - \gamma, \pi/2 + \gamma\}} H_{\mathbf{u}(\beta)}, \end{split}$$
 where $\gamma = \operatorname{arccot} \Big((\theta_{\mathbf{e}_1}(t, \Delta) / \theta_{\mathbf{e}_2}(t, \Delta))^{1/(2H_{\mathbf{e}}(t, \Delta))} \Big).$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Resolving the identification problem (2)

• Let $\hat{\alpha}^{\text{cot}}$ be the angle $\hat{\alpha}$ computed by (3) with $g = \tan$, and $\hat{\alpha}^{\tan}$ be computed similarly but with $g = \arctan$. Construct four vectors

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{\text{cot}} = \left(\cos(\widehat{\alpha}^{\text{cot}}), \sin(\widehat{\alpha}^{\text{cot}})\right)^{\top}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{\text{cot}} = \left(\cos(\pi - \widehat{\alpha}^{\text{cot}}), \sin(\pi - \widehat{\alpha}^{\text{cot}})\right)^{\top}, \\
\widehat{\mathbf{v}_{1}}^{\text{tan}} = \left(\cos(\widehat{\alpha}^{\text{tan}}), \sin(\widehat{\alpha}^{\text{tan}})\right)^{\top}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{v}_{2}}^{\text{tan}} = \left(\cos(\pi - \widehat{\alpha}^{\text{tan}}), \sin(\pi - \widehat{\alpha}^{\text{tan}})\right)^{\top}.$$

• Then we can estimate the regularity $H_{\mathbf{v}}$ along the four directions above and find the largest one

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Regularity estimator

- Need a way to estimate the regularity $H_{\mathbf{v}}$ along an arbitrary direction \mathbf{v}
- Use the following noise-adapted estimator:

$$\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t}, 2\Delta) - 2\widehat{\sigma}^2) - \log(\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t}, \Delta) - 2\widehat{\sigma}^2)}{2\log(2)} & \text{if} \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t}, 2\Delta), \widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{t}, \Delta) > 2\widehat{\sigma}^2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Noise estimator is given by

$$\widehat{\sigma}_m^2 = rac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(Y^{(j)}(\mathbf{t}_m) - Y^{(j)}(\mathbf{t}_{m,1}) \right)^2,$$

with $t_{m,1}$ denoting the closest observed point to t_m .

• \widehat{H}_v can be sensitive to spacings $\Delta \implies$ compute it on a grid of points Δ that maximises

$$\widehat{\alpha} = \arg \max_{\beta \in \{\widehat{\gamma}, \pi - \widehat{\gamma}, \pi/2 - \widehat{\gamma}, \pi/2 + \widehat{\gamma}\}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \widehat{H}_{\mathbf{u}(\beta)}(\Delta_i),$$

where $\widehat{\gamma} = \operatorname{arccot}\left((\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{1}}(t,\Delta)/\widehat{\theta}_{\mathbf{e}_{2}}(t,\Delta))^{1/(2\underline{\widehat{H}}(\Delta))}\right)$ and $\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{u}(\beta)}(\Delta_{i})$ is from (4).

Theoretical Properties

Theorem

Suppose some mild conditions hold true. Then, three positive constants C_1 , C_2 and \mathfrak{u} exist such that for any

$$1 \ge \varepsilon \ge \mathfrak{u} \max\{\mathfrak{m}^{-\nu}, \Delta^{1 \land |2H_1 - 2H_2|}\},\$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\widehat{g(\alpha, \Delta)} - g(\alpha, \Delta)| \ge \varepsilon\right) \le C_1 \exp\left(-C_2 \varepsilon^2 N \frac{\Delta^{6H}}{\log^2(\Delta)}\right).$$

where g is defined in Proposition 12.

Corollary

The following rates of convergence hold for $\widehat{\alpha}$:

$$|\widehat{\alpha}(\Delta) - \alpha| = O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\max\left\{ \frac{1}{\min\{\sqrt{N}, \mathfrak{m}^{\underline{H}}\}}, \frac{|\log \Delta|}{\sqrt{N}\Delta^{3\underline{H}}}, \mathfrak{m}^{-\nu} \right\} \right).$$

Image: Second second

Image: A matched black

Simulation setup

- Consider the sum of two fBms $f(B_1, B_2) = B_1 + B_2$
- Curves $N \in \{100, 200\}$, $M_0 = 51^2$ points, noise $\sigma \in \{0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1\}$, Angles $\alpha \in \{\pi/3, \pi/5, 5\pi/6\}$, $H_1 = 0.8$, $H_2 = 0.5$
- $\Delta = M_0^{-1/4}(1 + \Delta_c)$, where $\Delta_c = 0.25$ for estimation of α
- $\Delta = \{M^{-1/4}, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{k-1}, 0.4\}$, where $\#\Delta = 15$ for identification
- Risk measure

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} = |\widehat{\alpha} - \alpha|$$

19/23

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Simulation Results



Figure 1: Boxplots for M = 51 (sum)



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

18th March, 2024

æ

Application: Smoothing Surfaces

- As usual, smoothing surfaces non-parametrically depends crucially on smoothing parameter
- In the case of kernel smoothing, this is the bandwidth matrix. Observing $(Y_m^{(i)}, {\bf t}_m^{(i)})$ from

$$Y_m^{(i)} = X^{(i)}(\mathbf{t}_m^{(i)}) + \varepsilon_m^{(i)},$$

we want to build estimates $\widehat{X}^{(i)}(\mathbf{t};\mathbf{B})$, where \mathbf{B} is some bandwidth matrix

 Consideration of directional regularity allows one to perform a change of basis using a rotation matrix:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\widehat{\alpha}) & \sin(\widehat{\alpha}) \\ \sin(\widehat{\alpha}) & \cos(\widehat{\alpha}) \end{pmatrix}$$

By working instead with

$$Z(\mathbf{t}) := X(\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{t}), \qquad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T},$$

one can obtain faster rates of convergence!

Omar Kassi

Directional Regularity

18th March, 2024 21/23

Simulation results



Omar Kassi

Directional Regularity

18th March, 2024

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

æ

Conclusion

- Anisotropy depends not only on the dimension, but the direction
- Taking into account the directional regularity can allow one to obtain faster rates of convergence, even if isotropic on the canonical basis
- Algorithms for the estimation and identification of the directional regularity that works well in practice are constructed
- One application is the improved rate in smoothing surfaces
- But the consequences are not limited to smoothing! Thus recommend it as a standard pre-processing step in multivariate fda

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))